Oral and Sublingual Immunotherapy for Food Allergy



Wesley Burks, M.D. Curnen Distinguished Professor and Chair Department of Pediatrics University of North Carolina



Faculty disclosure

FINANCIAL INTERESTS

I have disclosed below information about all organizations and commercial interests, other than my employer, from which I or a member of my immediate family or household receive remuneration in any amount (including consulting fees, grants, honoraria, investments, etc.) or invest money which may create or be perceived as a conflict of interest.

Name of Organization

Allertein Dannon Co. Probiotics ExploraMed Intelliject Mast Cell, Inc. McNeil Nutritionals Merck & Co. Novartis Pfizer Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Schering-Plough

Nature of Relationship

Minority Stockholder Advisory Board Consultant Consultant Minority Stockholder Consultant Consultant Consultant Consultant Consultant Consultant Consultant Consultant Consultant

RESEARCH INTERESTS

I have disclosed below information about all organizations which support research projects for which I or a member of my immediate family or household serve as an investigator.

Name of Organization

National Institutes of Health Food Allergy Initiative National Peanut Board Wallace Foundation



Nature of Relationship Grantee Grantee Grantee Grantee

Background: Food allergy

- Prevalence:
 - 3 million school age children (3.9%)
 - 18% increase since 1997

Branum 2009 Pediatrics

 "evolved dependence" – changes in commensals, subclinical infections, asymptomatic carriers

Rook – CEI – 2010

Peanut allergy

- Prevalence ~1%
- Most common cause of anaphylaxis in children presenting to the ED
- Most common cause of fatal food anaphylaxis

• Standard of care

- Avoidance of only foods appropriately diagnosed
- Self-injectable epinephrine/antihistamines

• No proactive therapy available

Fleischer 2007 Curr.Allergy Asthma Rep. Skripak 2007 J Allergy Clin. Immunol.

Life-long?





Transient?







What is the mechanism for the development of allergic disease and food allergy?





Burks AW. Lancet 2008

What is the mechanism for the development of allergic disease and food allergy?



When – in utero?, epicutaneous?, oral?



Burks AW. Lancet 2008

What is the mechanism for the development of allergic disease and food allergy?





• What is the ultimate goal for therapy?

Desensitization

- In the context of food allergy
 - tolerate more food on a food challenge while on treatment
 - would this provide protection from accidental food ingestion?

• Tolerance

- Discontinuation of the therapy
 - <u>sustained long-lasting therapeutic benefits</u>

Current paradigm

• Peripheral T cell tolerance - crucial for such benefits



Clinical desensitization

- Tolerate the ingestion of more food while on treatment
 - greater than pre treatment
- Oral immunotherapy OIT
- Sublingual immunotherapy SLIT



- Clinical desensitization
 - Tolerate the ingestion of more food while on treatment
 - greater than pre treatment
- Clinical findings in 3 studies of food allergy
 - CoFAR egg OIT Jones, Burks, Sampson et al NEJM July 2012
 - Peanut OIT Varshney, Jones, Burks et al. JACI March 2011
 - CoFAR peanut SLIT Fleischer, Burks, Sampson et al. JACI Jan 2013



Paradigm of food immunotherapy – OIT/SLIT



SCHOOL OF MEDICINE DEPARTMENT OF PEDIATRICS Nowak-Wegrzyn JACI March 2011

- Clinical desensitization
 - Tolerate the ingestion of more food while on treatment
 - greater than pre treatment
- Clinical findings in 3 studies of food allergy
 - CoFAR egg OIT Jones, Burks, Sampson et al NEJM July 2012
 - 55 subjects (> 5 yrs) 40-egg OIT, 15-placebo
 - multicenter, blinded treatment, thru 48 weeks
 - Peanut OIT Varshney, Jones, Burks et al. JACI March 2011
 - CoFAR eanut SLIT Fleischer, Burks, Sampson et al. JACI Jan 2013





Egg OIT Desensitization

	OFC Performed		Response Rates	
	Placebo	Egg OIT	Placebo	Egg OIT
5 gm desensitization OFC (10 mo.)	13	35	0/15 (0%)* (n=13)	22/40 (55%)* (n=35)

Jones AAAAI 2012, Burks/Jones NEJM 2012;367:233

Supported by NIH-NIAID U19AI066738 and U0AI066560





Egg OIT Desensitization

	OFC Performed		Response Rates	
	Placebo	Egg OIT	Placebo	Egg OIT
5 gm desensitization OFC (10 mo.)	13	35	0/15 (0%)* (n=13)	22/40 (55%)* (n=35)
10 gm desensitization OFC (22 mo.)	1***	34	0/15 (0%)* (n=1)	30/40 (75%)* (n=34)

Jones AAAAI 2012, Burks/Jones NEJM 2012;367:233

Supported by NIH-NIAID U19AI066738 and U0AI066560





- Clinical desensitization
 - Tolerate the ingestion of more food while on treatment
 - greater than pre treatment
- Clinical findings in 3 studies of food allergy
 - CoFAR egg OIT Jones, Burks, Sampson et al NEJM July 2012
 - Peanut OIT Varshney, Jones, Burks et al. JACI March 2011
 - 25 subjects 16 active treatment; 9 placebo (3 withdrew)
 - CoFAR peanut SLIT Fleischer, Burks, Sampson et al. JACI January 2013



Can we produce long-term tolerance in allergic diseases? Peanut OIT – UNC/Arkansas studies







*P<.001

Varshney et al. JACI March 2011

- Clinical desensitization
 - Tolerate the ingestion of more food while on treatment
 - greater than pre treatment
- Clinical findings in 3 studies of food allergy
 - CoFAR egg OIT Jones, Burks, Sampson et al NEJM July 2012
 - Peanut OIT Varshney, Jones, Burks et al. JACI March 2011
 - CoFAR peanut SLIT Fleischer, Burks, Sampson et al. JACI Jan 2013

- 40 subjects - adolescents and young adults, peanut SLIT or placebo





Can we produce long-term tolerance in allergic diseases? CoFAR – Peanut SLIT





Week 68 - compared to Week 44 (P = .05) Week 68 - compared to Baseline (P = .009)



Fleischer et al. JACI January 2013

Effector cell suppression





Basophil activation assay

CD 63+



Varshney, JACI 2011

Paradigm of food immunotherapy – OIT/SLIT



Paradigm of food immunotherapy – OIT/SLIT



- Clinical tolerance (sustained unresponsiveness)
 - Tolerate the ingestion of food off treatment
 - how long is enough though? 1 month, 4 months, 12 months?



- Clinical tolerance (sustained unresponsiveness)
 - Tolerate the ingestion of food off treatment
 - how long is enough though? 1 month, 4 months, 12 months?
- Clinical findings in 2 studies of food allergy
 - CoFAR egg OIT Jones, Burks, Sampson et al NEJM July 2012
 - Peanut OIT Varshney, Jones, Burks et al. JACI March 2011





Egg OIT

Desensitization/Sustained Unresponsiveness

	OFC Performed		Respo	Response Rates	
	Placebo	Egg OIT	Placebo	Egg OIT	
5 gm desensitization OFC (10 mo.)	13	35	0/15 (0%)* (n=13)	22/40 (55%)* (n=35)	
10 gm desensitization OFC (22 mo.)	1***	34	0/15 (0%)* (n=1)	30/40 (75%)* (n=34)	
10 gm tolerance OFC + open egg (24 mo.)	0***	29	0/15 (0%)** (n=0)	11/40 (27.5%)** (n=29)	

Jones AAAAI 2012, Burks/Jones NEJM 2012;367:233

Supported by NIH-NIAID U19AI066738 and U0AI066560



HEALTH CARE

víldren's

Egg OIT

Desensitization/Sustained Unresponsiveness

	OFC Performed		Respo	Response Rates	
	Placebo	Egg OIT	Placebo	Egg OIT	
5 gm desensitization OFC (10 mo.)	13	35	0/15 (0%)* (n=13)	22/40 (55%)* (n=35)	
10 gm desensitization OFC (22 mo.)	1***	34	0/15 (0%)* (n=1)	30/40 (75%)* (n=34)	
10 gm tolerance OFC + open egg (24 mo.)	0***	29	0/15 (0%)** (n=0)	11/40 (27.5%)** (n=29)	
10 gm tolerance OFC + open egg (~36 mo.)	N/A	13	N/A	18/40 (45%)# (n=13)	

Jones AAAAI 2012, Burks/Jones NEJM 2012;367:233

Supported by NIH-NIAID U19AI066738 and U0AI066560



HEALTH CARE

víldren's

Egg OIT

Desensitization/Sustained Unresponsiveness

	OFC Performed		Response Rates	
	Placebo	Egg OIT	Placebo	Egg OIT
5 gm desensitization OFC (10 mo.)	13	35	0/15 (0%)* (n=13)	22/40 (55%)* (n=35)
10 gm desensitization OFC (22 mo.)	1***	34	0/15 (0%)* (n=1)	30/40 (75%)* (n=34)
10 gm tolerance OFC + open egg (24 mo.)	0***	29	0/15 (0%)** (n=0)	11/40 (27.5%)** (n=29)
10 gm tolerance OFC + open egg (~36 mo.)	N/A	13	N/A	18/40 (45%) [#] (n=13)
10 gm tolerance OFC + open egg (~48 mo.)	N/A	8	N/A	22/40 (55%)#

*p<.001; **p=.025; #p<.01; ***OFC performed w/ criteria met

 1 subject in the 2 yr tolerant group had reaction ~1 yr after OFC upon eating a fried egg; continues ad libitum egg diet

Other tolerant subjects continue on ad libitum egg diet

Jones AAAAI 2012, Burks/Jones NEJM 2012;367:233

Supported by NIH-NIAID U19AI066738 and U0AI066560



Results - Efficacy

Oral Food Challenge Overall Success Rates Egg OIT Subjects*

	Desensitization (D)	Sustained Unresponsiveness (SU)
	10 g OFC	10 g OFC + open feeding of egg
24 months**	30/40 (75%)	11/40 (27.5%)
36 months	32/40 (80%)	19/40 (47.5%)***
48 months	32/40 (80%)	22/40 (55%)***

* Placebo subjects discontinued from study at 24 months; none passed a 10 g OFC. ** Burks AW, et al, NEJM 2012:267:233-43.

*** 1 subject added egg to diet without OFC; classified as sustained unresponsiveness.





Supported by NIH-NIAID U19AI066738 and U0AI066560



HEALTH CARE Children's

- Clinical tolerance (sustained unresponsiveness)
 - Tolerate the ingestion of food off treatment
 - how long is enough though? 1 month, 4 months, 12 months?
- Clinical findings in 2 studies of food allergy
 - CoFAR egg OIT Jones, Burks, Sampson et al NEJM July 2012
 - Peanut OIT Varshney, Jones, Burks et al. JACI March 2011



Clinical results - UNC and Arkansas studies

- 19 subjects with peanut allergy completed an OIT protocol
 - Oral food challenge (OFC) <u>4 weeks</u> after stopping OIT
 - evaluate clinical tolerance (sustained unresponsiveness)
- Peanut OIT range of 33-70 months
 - Rates of successful tolerance induction?
- 11 subjects now eat peanut *ad lib* without symptoms
 - Intention-to-Treat Analysis: 11/27 (41%)
 - Per Protocol Analysis: 11/19 (58%)



Cumulative dose for OFC – post peanut OIT



Treatment Successes (TS) Treatment Failures (TF)



Skin prick tests – peanut OIT



Peanut OIT changes antigen-specific T regs and suppresses the T_H2 response to peanut



OF PEDIATRICS

Mechanistic results - UNC and Arkansas peanut OIT studies

Kulis, Jones, Burks et al. AAAAI 2012

Direct comparison of OIT and SLIT Peanut IgE and IgG4 – Pass and Fail Tolerance Challenges



Peanut IgE





Peanut IgG4





Critical knowledge gaps in food OIT/SLIT research

Summary - consistent results

- **1. Desensitization** begins within a few days/months of treatment – threshold goes up
- 2. Allergic side effects primarily GI at the beginning viral infections, exercise
- 3. Mechanistic studies mast cell, basophil, B-cell and T-cell changes
- 4. Tolerance suggestions but not shown in long-term blinded studies



Patriarca et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2003;17:459-65 Meglio P, et al.. Allergy 2004;59:980-7 Buchanan AD et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007;119:199-205 Staden U, et al. Allergy 2007;62:1261-9 Longo G, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2008;121:343-7 Jones SM, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2009 Skripak JM et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2008;122:1154-6 Blumchen K et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;126:83-91 Varshney P et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol March 2011 Jones SJ, Burks AW, Sampson HA et al – CoFAR 2011

What do we do next?

Upcoming multicenter study



- Peanut allergic children 144 children aged 1 4 years
- Randomized 2000 mg of peanut OIT or placebo for 4 years
- Endpoints: full or partial desensitization, tolerance
 - Novel mechanistic assays (Burks, Jones UNC, AR, Hopkins, Mount Sinai, Stanford)



Thank you

UNC - Brian Vickery, Mike Kulis, Edwin Kim, Pam Steele, Jan Kamilaris, UNC Fellows, Caitlin Burk

Arkansas Children's/UAMS - Stacie Jones, Amy Scurlock

CoFAR – Hugh Sampson, Scott Sicherer, Stacie Jones, Bob Wood, David Fleischer, Andy Liu, Cecilia Berin

Duke – Joe Roberts, Herman Staats, Soman Abraham, Xiaoping Zhong, Duke Fellows

NIAID – Marshall Plaut

EMMES – Bob Lindblad, Don Stablein

ITN – Audrey Plough, Peter Sayre, Mike Adamkiewicz

Funding sources - NIHR01 – AI, NIHR01-NCCAM, NIAID-CoFAR, Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network, Food Allergy Project, Food Allergy Initiative, Gerber Foundation, NIH 1 UL1, RR024128-01 (DCRU), Doris and Frank Robins Family, National Peanut Board







